arXiv:1511.05033v1 [math.GR] 16 Nov 2015

Categorizations of limits of Grothendieck groups over a Frobenius P-category

Lluis Puig

CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, lluis.puig@imj-prg.fr
6 Av Bizet, 94340 Joinville-le-Pont, France

Abstract: In [9, Ch. 14] and [10] we consider suitable inverse limits of Grothendieck groups of categories of modules in characteristics p and zero, obtained from a *folded Frobenius P-category* $(\mathcal{F},\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$ [10, 2.8], which covers the case of the *Frobenius P-categories* associated with *blocks*; moreover, in [13] we show that a *folded Frobenius P-category* is actually equivalent to the choice of a *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ [9, 11.2]. Here, taking advantage of the existence of a *perfect $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-locality* $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, recently proved in [3], [5] and [11], we exhibit those inverse limits as the true *Grothendieck groups* of the categories of $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}$- and $k_*\hat{G}$-modules for a suitable k^*-group $\hat{G}$ associated to the k^*-category $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ obtained from $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let p be a prime number and $\mathcal{O}$ a complete discrete valuation ring with a *field of quotients* $\mathcal{K}$ of characteristic zero and a *residue field* k of characteristic p; we assume that k is algebraically closed and that $\mathcal{K}$ contains "enough" roots of unity for the finite family of finite groups we will consider. Let G be a finite group, b a *block* of G — namely a primitive idempotent in the center $Z(\mathcal{O}G)$ of the group $\mathcal{O}$-algebra — and (P,e) a maximal *Brauer (b,G)-pair* [9, 1.16]; recall that the *Frobenius P-category* $\mathcal{F}_{(b,G)}$ associated with b is the subcategory of the category of finite groups where the objects are all the subgroups of P and, for any pair of subgroups Q and R of P, the morphisms φ from R to Q are the group homomorphisms $\varphi\colon R\to Q$ induced by the conjugation of some element $x\in G$ fulfilling

$$(R,g)\subset(Q,f)^x \qquad 1.1.1$$

where (Q,f) and (R,g) are the corresponding Brauer (b,G)-pairs contained in (P,e) [9, Ch. 3].

1.2. In [9, Ch. 14] we consider a suitable inverse limit of Grothendieck groups of categories of modules in characteristic p obtained from $\mathcal{F}_{(b,G)}$, which according to Alperin's Conjecture should be isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of the category of finitely dimensional kGb-modules. In [10] we generalize this construction in two directions. On the one hand, we also consider a suitable inverse limit of Grothendieck groups of categories of modules in characteristic zero which again, according to Alperin's Conjecture, should be isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of the category of finitely dimensional $\mathcal{K}Gb$-modules. On the other hand, with the introduction of the *folded Frobenius P-categories* [10, §2], we are able to extend all these constructions to any *folded Frobenius P-category.*

1.3. Let us recall our definitions. Denoting by P a finite p-group, by $\mathfrak{iGr}$ the category formed by the finite groups and the injective group homomorphisms, and by $\mathcal{F}_P$ the subcategory of $\mathfrak{iGr}$ where the objects are all the subgroups of P and the morphisms are the group homomorphisms induced by the conjugation by elements of P, a *Frobenius P-category* $\mathcal{F}$ is a subcategory of $\mathfrak{iGr}$ containing $\mathcal{F}_P$ where the objects are all the subgroups of P and the morphisms fulfill the following three conditions [9, 2.8 and Proposition 2.11]

1.3.1 *For any subgroup Q of P the inclusion functor $(\mathcal{F})_Q \to (\mathfrak{iGr})_Q$ is full.*

1.3.2 *$\mathcal{F}_P(P)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(P)$.*

1.3.3 *For any subgroup Q of P such that we have $\xi\big(C_P(Q)\big) = C_P\big(\xi(Q)\big)$ whenever $\xi : Q{\cdot}C_P(Q) \to P$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism, any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\varphi : Q \to P$ and any subgroup R of $N_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ containing $\varphi(Q)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)$ contains the action of $\mathcal{F}_R\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ over Q via φ, there is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\zeta : R \to P$ fulfilling $\zeta\big(\varphi(u)\big) = u$ for any $u \in Q$.*

1.4. Moreover, we say that a subgroup Q of P is *$\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing* if we have

$$C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \subset \varphi(Q) \tag{1.4.1}$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$, and we denote by $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the *full* subcategory of $\mathcal{F}$ over the set of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups of P. We call *$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chain* any functor $\mathfrak{q} : \Delta_n \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ where the n-simplex Δ_n is considered as a category with the morphisms defined by the order [9, A2.2]; we denote by $\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ this set of functors and by $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ the category where the objects are all the $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chains $(\mathfrak{q}, \Delta_n)$, where n runs on $\mathbb{N}$, and the morphisms from $\mathfrak{q} : \Delta_n \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to another $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chain $\mathfrak{r} : \Delta_m \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ are the pairs (ν, δ) formed by an *order preserving map* $\delta : \Delta_m \to \Delta_n$ and by a natural isomorphism $\nu : \mathfrak{q} \circ \delta \cong \mathfrak{r}$ [9, A2.8]. Recall that we have a canonical functor

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Gr} \tag{1.4.2}$$

mapping any $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chain $\mathfrak{q} : \Delta_n \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to the group of natural automorphisms of $\mathfrak{q}$ [9, Proposition A2.10].

1.5. Recall that a *k^*-group* $\hat{G}$ is a group endowed with an injective group homomorphism $\theta : k^* \to Z(\hat{G})$ [7, §5], that $G = \hat{G}/\theta(k^*)$ is the *k^*-quotient* of $\hat{G}$ and that a k^*-group homomorphism is a group homomorphism which preserves the multiplication by k^*; let us denote by $k^*\text{-}\mathfrak{Gr}$ the category of k^*-groups with finite k^*-quotient. Then, a *folded Frobenius P-category* $(\mathcal{F}, \widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$ is a pair formed by a Frobenius P-category $\mathcal{F}$ and, by a functor

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow k^*\text{-}\mathfrak{Gr} \tag{1.5.1}$$

lifting the canonical functor $\mathfrak{aut}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$; in the case of the *Frobenius P-category* $\mathcal{F}_{(b,G)}$ above, we already know that the situation provides k^*-groups $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{(b,G)}(Q)$

lifting $\mathcal{F}_{(b,G)}(Q)$ for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P [9, 7.4] and in [9, Theorem 11.32] we prove the existence of a lifting $\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(b,G)}}$ of $\mathfrak{aut}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(b,G)}}$ extending them; note that in [9, Theorem 11.32] we may assume that k is just the closure of the prime subfield. But in [13, Theorem 3.7] we prove that any *folder structure* on $\mathcal{F}$ comes from an essentially unique *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and, from now on, a *folder structure* on $\mathcal{F}$ means a *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$; if this *regular central k^*-extension* comes from a *regular central $\bar{k}^*$-extension* where $\bar{k}$ is the algebraic closure of the prime subfield then we say that the *folder structure* is *finite*.

Lemme 1.6. *For any finite folder structure $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ there are a finite subfield $\hat{k}$ of k and a regular central $\hat{k}^*$-extension $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ such that the extension of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ from $\hat{k}^*$ to k^* is equivalent to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$.*

Proof: We actually may assume that k is the algebraic closure of the prime subfield; then, for any $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\varphi : R \to Q$, choose a lifting $\hat{\varphi} : R \to Q$ of φ in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R)$; thus, for any pair of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphisms $\varphi : R \to Q$ and $\psi : T \to R$ we get

$$\hat{\varphi} \circ \hat{\psi} = \lambda_{\varphi,\psi} \cdot \widehat{\varphi \circ \psi} \qquad 1.6.1$$

for a suitable *finite* family $\{\lambda_{\varphi,\psi}\}_{\varphi,\psi}$ of elements of k^* which are algebraic; hence, the subfield $\hat{k}$ of k generated by this family is finite and it is clear that we can define a regular central $\hat{k}^*$-extension $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ contained in $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ by setting

$$\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R) = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R)} \hat{k}^* \cdot \hat{\varphi} \subset \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R) \qquad 1.6.2$$

and that this inclusion induces a bijection

$$k^* \times_{\hat{k}^*} \hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R) \cong \hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,R) \qquad 1.6.3.$$

1.7. Note that a *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ induces a *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of the *exterior quotient* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ — namely of the quotient of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ by the inner automorphisms of the objects [9, 6.1]; moreover, assuming that the *folder structure* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ is *finite*, choosing a finite subfield $\hat{k}$ of k and a regular central $\hat{k}^*$-extension $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ as above, and denoting by $\hat{\hat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ regular $\hat{k}^*$-extension of the *exterior quotient* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, if follows easily from [13, Proposition 3.5] that the inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}_P \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ can be lifted to a *faithful* functor $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}_P \to \hat{\hat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and then, with the terminology introduced in [12, 2.2], $\hat{\hat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ becomes a $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}_P$*-category* and fulfills the finiteness condition in [12, 4.1]; at this point, it follows from [12, Proposition 4.6] that $\hat{\hat{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ becomes a *multiplicative* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}_P$*-category* since it inherits from $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ both conditions in this proposition.

1.8. On the other hand, in [3], [5] and [11] it has been recently proved that there exists a unique *perfect* $\mathcal{F}^{sc}$*-locality* $\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ [9, 17.4 and 17.13]; more explicitly, denote by $\mathcal{T}_P^{sc}$ the category where the objects are all the $\mathcal{F}$*-self-centralizing* subgroups of P, where the set of morphisms from R to Q is the P*-transporter* $T_P(R,Q)$ for a pair of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups Q and R of P, and where the composition is induced by the product in P; then, there is a unique *Abelian extension* $\pi^{sc}:\mathcal{P}^{sc}\to\mathcal{F}^{sc}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{sc}$ endowed with a *faithful* functor $\tau^{sc}:\mathcal{T}_P^{sc}\to\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ in such a way that the composition $\pi^{sc}\circ\tau^{sc}:\mathcal{T}_P^{sc}\to\mathcal{F}^{sc}$ is the canonical functor defined by the conjugation in P, that $\mathcal{P}^{sc}(Q)$ endowed with $\tau_Q^{sc}:N_P(Q)\to\mathcal{P}^{sc}(Q)$ and $\pi_Q^{sc}:\mathcal{P}^{sc}(Q)\to\mathcal{F}^{sc}(Q)$ is an $\mathcal{F}$*-localizer* of Q for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$ [9, Theorem 18.6], and that $Z(R)$ acts *regularly* over the *fibers* of the map

$$\mathcal{P}^{sc}(Q,R)\longrightarrow\mathcal{F}^{sc}(Q,R) \tag{1.8.1}$$

induced by π^{sc} [9, 17.7] for any pair of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups Q and R of P.

1.9. Then, the so-called $\mathcal{F}$*-localizing functor* considered in [10, 3.2.1]

$$\mathfrak{loc}_{\mathcal{F}^{sc}}:\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{F}^{sc})\longrightarrow\widetilde{\mathfrak{Loc}} \tag{1.9.1}$$

is actually just a *quotient* of the canonical functor

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{\mathcal{P}^{sc}}:\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{P}^{sc})\longrightarrow\mathfrak{Gr} \tag{1.9.2}$$

mapping any $\mathcal{P}^{sc}$-chain $\mathfrak{q}:\Delta_n\to\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ to the group of natural automorphisms of $\mathfrak{q}$ [9, Proposition A2.10]; moreover, a *regular central* k^**-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{sc}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{sc}$ determines *via* π^{sc} a *regular central* k^**-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ and, once again, the *faithful* functor $\tau^{sc}:\mathcal{T}_P^{sc}\to\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ can be lifted to a *faithful* functor $\hat{\tau}^{sc}:\mathcal{T}_P^{sc}\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}$ [13, Proposition 3.5]; hence, the corresponding functor

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{loc}}_{\mathcal{F}^{sc}}:\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{F}^{sc})\longrightarrow k^*\text{-}\widetilde{\mathfrak{Loc}} \tag{1.9.3}$$

considered in [10, 3.3.1] is presently just a *quotient* of the obvious canonical functor

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}}:\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc})\longrightarrow k^*\text{-}\mathfrak{Gr} \tag{1.9.4}$$

mapping any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}$ to the k^*-group of natural automorphisms of $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ [9, Proposition A2.10], and we simply set $\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)=\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$.

1.10. In this situation, considering the *contravariant* functors

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}:k^*\text{-}\mathfrak{Gr}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathfrak{g}_k:k^*\text{-}\mathfrak{Gr}\longrightarrow\mathcal{O}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \tag{1.10.1}$$

mapping any k^*-group $\hat{G}$ with finite k^*-quotient on the extensions to $\mathcal{O}$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{G})=\mathcal{O}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{G})\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{G})=\mathcal{O}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{G}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{G}) \tag{1.10.2}$$

of the respective *Grothendieck groups* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{G})$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{G})$ of the categories of finitely dimensional $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}$- and $k_*\hat{G}$-modules, and any k^*-group homomorphism $\hat{\theta}:\hat{G}\to\hat{G}'$ on the corresponding *restriction* maps, with the the notation in [10, 3.5] it is quite clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{F},\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) &= \varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\circ\widehat{\mathfrak{loc}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \cong \varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})\\ \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathcal{F},\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) &= \varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{k}\circ\widehat{\mathfrak{loc}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \cong \varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{k}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})\end{aligned} \qquad 1.10.3.$$

Our purpose here is to exhibit these $\mathcal{O}$-modules as the $\mathcal{O}$-extensions of the very *Grothendieck groups* of suitable categories; as a matter of fact, we find a k^*-group $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$, with finite k^*-quotient, such that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{F},\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{G}_{k}(\mathcal{F},\widehat{\mathfrak{aut}}_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{k}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) \qquad 1.10.4$$

in a compatible way with the corresponding *decomposition maps* [10, 3.5.3]. We borrow our notation from [9] and [10].

Remark 1.11. Denoting by $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}$ the *contravariant* functor mapping any k^*-group $\hat{G}$ with finite k^*-quotient on the *positive* part $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}(\hat{G})$ of the very *Grothendieck group* of the category of finitely dimensional $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}$-modules — the part formed by the classes of the $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}$-modules — note that the *inverse limit* of the functor $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ still makes sense and actually it generates $\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$. Indeed, denoting by $R_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ the class in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ of the *regular* $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, and choosing a multiple m of all the orders $|\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})|$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ runs over the set of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chains,* it is easily checked that the family $R=\Big\{\dfrac{m}{|\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})|}\cdot R_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\Big\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ belongs to $\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$ and that, for any element X of $\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$, the sum of X with a suitable multiple of R belongs to $\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\mathbb{Z}^+}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})$. The analogous argument also holds for $\mathfrak{g}_{k}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

2. Categorization for the characteristic zero case

2.1. Let P be a finite p-group and $(\mathcal{F},\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ a *finite folded Frobenius P-category*; denote by $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the respective *perfect* $\mathcal{F}$- and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-localities* [11, §6 and §7] and by $\pi:\mathcal{P}\to\mathcal{F}$ and $\tau:\mathcal{T}_P\to\mathcal{P}$ the *structural functors* [9, 17.3]; then, the *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ determines *via* π^{sc} a *regular central k^*-extension* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and we set (cf. 1.10.3)

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) = \varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \qquad 2.1.1;$$

that is to say, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is the subset of elements

$$\{X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \prod_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big) \qquad 2.1.2$$

such that, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $(\nu,\delta):(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)\to(\hat{\mathfrak{r}},\Delta_m)$, they fulfill

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\nu,\delta)}(X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{r}},\Delta_m)}) = X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} \qquad 2.1.3;$$

moreover, we assume that, for any extension $\mathcal{K}'$ of $\mathcal{K}$, the scalar extension from $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathcal{K}'$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}'}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and any $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$. Our purpose in this section is both to exhibit $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ as the extension to $\mathcal{O}$ of the very *Grothendieck group* of a suitable subcategory of $\mathcal{K}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$-valued *contravariant k^*-functors* over the category $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, and to show that this subcategory is equivalent to the category of $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}$-modules for a suitable k^*-group $\hat{G}$ with finite k^*-quotient.

2.2. First of all, it follows from [10, Corollary 8.4] and from 1.10.3 above that

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}\big(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) = \sum_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} (-1)^n \,\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}\Big(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\Big) \qquad 2.2.1$$

where $(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)$ runs over a set of representatives for the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-objects such that $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(i-1,i)$ is *not* an isomorphism for any $1\le i\le n$ — called *regular* $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-*objects* [9, A5.2]. This formula suggests to consider the following *scalar product* in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; with the notation in 2.1.2 above, if $X=\{X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}$ and $X'=\{X'_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}$ are two elements of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ then we define

$$\langle X,X'\rangle = \sum_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} (-1)^n \,\langle X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}, X'_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\rangle \qquad 2.2.2$$

where $(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)$ is running over the same set and where, for such a $(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)$, $\langle X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}, X'_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\rangle$ denotes the scalar product of $X_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}$ and $X'_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}$ in the Grothendieck group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$. Note that there is a canonical bijection between a set of representatives for the set of isomorphism classes of *regular* $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-*objects* and a set of representatives for the set of $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism classes of nonempty sets of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups of P, totally ordered by the inclusion.

2.3. Recall that the canonical group homomorphism $\mathcal{O}^*\to k^*$ admits a unique section $k^*\to\mathcal{O}^*$; thus, the category $\mathcal{K}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ admits an evident k^*-action and a *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{K}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ is a functor such that $\mathfrak{m}[\lambda\cdot\hat{x}]=\lambda\cdot\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ and any $\lambda\in k^*$.

Moreover, it is clear that any *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ determines a new *contravariant k^*-functor* [9, A3.7.3]

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}} = \mathfrak{m} \circ \mathfrak{v}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \qquad 2.3.1$$

sending any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ and any $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism

$$(\hat{x}, \delta) : (\hat{\mathfrak{r}}, \Delta_m) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{q}}, \Delta_n) \qquad 2.3.2,$$

where $\delta : \Delta_n \to \Delta_m$ is an order-preserving map and $\hat{x} : \hat{\mathfrak{r}} \circ \delta \cong \hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ a *natural isomorphism*, to the $\mathcal{K}$-linear map

$$\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{x}_0 \circ \hat{r}(0 \bullet \delta(0))\big) : \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big) \qquad 2.3.3.$$

2.4. In particular, in the case where $n = m$ and δ is the identity map, we get a k^*-compatible action over $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ of the k^*-group $\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}) = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$; that is to say, $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ becomes a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module. Similarly, $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big)$ becomes a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module and, *via* the k^*-group homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x}, \delta) : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}) \qquad 2.4.1,$$

$\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ also becomes the $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big)$ and then the $\mathcal{K}$-linear map 2.3.3 is clearly a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}}(\hat{x}, \delta) : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big) \qquad 2.4.2.$$

2.5. Similarly, any *natural map* $\mu : \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}'$ between *contravariant k^*-functors* $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ from $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ determines a new *natural map*

$$\mu^{\mathfrak{ch}} = \mu * \mathfrak{v}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}'^{\mathfrak{ch}} \qquad 2.5.1$$

sending any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to the $\mathcal{K}$-linear map

$$\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)} : \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}'\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big) \qquad 2.5.2;$$

then, it follows from the naturalness of μ that this map is actually a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module homomorphism. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{m})$ the $\mathcal{K}$-module of *natural maps* from $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{m}'$.

2.6. We are interested in the *contravariant k^*-functors* $\mathfrak{m} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ — called *reversible* — mapping *any* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ on a $\mathcal{K}$-linear isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x}) : \mathfrak{m}(Q) \cong \mathfrak{m}(R) \qquad 2.6.1\,;$$

in this case, it is quite clear that the *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}}$ also maps any $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism on a $\mathcal{K}$-linear isomorphism. Conversely,

2.6.2 *A contravariant k^*-functor $\mathfrak{x} : \mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ mapping any $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism on a $\mathcal{K}$-linear isomorphism comes from a reversible contravariant k^*-functor.*

2.7. Indeed, for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P, considering the $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_Q : \Delta_0 \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ sending 0 to Q, we set $\mathfrak{m}(Q) = \mathfrak{x}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_Q, \Delta_0)$; moreover, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x} : R \to Q$, considering the $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{x}} : \Delta_1 \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ mapping 0 on R, 1 on Q and the Δ_1-morphism $0 \bullet 1$ on $\hat{x}$, we have the evident $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id}_R, \delta_1^0) &: (\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{x}}, \Delta_1) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_R, \Delta_0) \\ (\mathrm{id}_Q, \delta_0^0) &: (\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{x}}, \Delta_1) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{q}}_Q, \Delta_0) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7.1}$$

and we set $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{x}((\mathrm{id}_R, \delta_0^0))^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{x}(\mathrm{id}_Q, \delta_1^0)$. This correspondence is actually a k^*-functor since, for another $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{y} : T \to R$, considering the new $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{r}} : \Delta_2 \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ sending 0 to T, 1 to R, 2 to Q, $0 \bullet 1$ to $\hat{y}$ and $1 \bullet 2$ to $\hat{x}$, and extending the notation above, we get the evident commutative diagram in the category $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_T & & \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_R & & \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_Q \\ \uparrow & \nwarrow\!\!\nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow\!\!\nearrow & \uparrow \\ \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{y}} & & \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y}} & & \hat{\mathfrak{q}}_{\hat{x}} \\ & \nwarrow & \uparrow & \nearrow & \\ & & \hat{\mathfrak{r}} & & \end{array} \tag{2.7.2}$$

which the functor $\mathfrak{x}$ sends to a commutative diagram of isomorphisms in $\mathcal{K}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$; then, it is easily checked that $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y}) = \mathfrak{m}(\hat{y}) \circ \mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})$ and that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}} = \mathfrak{x}$.

2.8. If $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ are *reversible contravariant k^*-functors* from the k^*-category $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $\mathcal{K}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$, a *natural map* $\mu : \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}'$ is a correspondence sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to a $\mathcal{K}$-linear map $\mu_Q : \mathfrak{m}(Q) \to \mathfrak{m}'(Q)$ in such a way that, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x} : R \to Q$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{m}(Q) & \overset{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})}{\cong} & \mathfrak{m}(R) \\ {\scriptstyle \mu_Q}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_R} \\ \mathfrak{m}'(Q) & \overset{\mathfrak{m}'(\hat{x})}{\cong} & \mathfrak{m}'(R) \end{array} \tag{2.8.1;}$$

equivalently, considering the *reversible contravariant functor*

$$\mathfrak{m}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{m}' : \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \tag{2.8.2}$$

mapping Q on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{m}(Q), \mathfrak{m}'(Q)\big)$ and $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ on the $\mathcal{K}$-linear map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{m}(Q), \mathfrak{m}'(Q)\big) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{m}(R), \mathfrak{m}'(R)\big) \tag{2.8.3}$$

sending any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{m}(Q), \mathfrak{m}'(Q)\big)$ to $\mathfrak{m}'(\hat{x}) \circ \alpha \circ \mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})^{-1}$, the commutativity of the diagrams above means that μ belongs to the inverse limit of $\mathfrak{m}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{m}'$; that is to say, we get

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{m}) = \varprojlim (\mathfrak{m}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathfrak{m}') \tag{2.8.4.}$$

2.9. Moreover, let $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ be a *reversible contravariant k^*-functor*; with the notation in 2.4 above, homomorphism 2.4.2 becomes an isomorphism and therefore the restriction map induced by homomorphism 2.4.1

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)\big):\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})\big)\qquad 2.9.1$$

sends the class $X_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ of the $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ to the class $X_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0))}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})\big)$ of the $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big)$. That is to say, the family

$$\big\{X_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}\big\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\in\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\prod_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\qquad 2.9.2$$

fulfills condition 2.1.3 and therefore it belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; let us denote by $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ this family which, clearly, only depends on the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{m}$.

2.10. Actually, any *reversible contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ is *naturally isomorphic* to a *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{n}$ from $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ — called *reduced* — mapping any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P on the *same* finite dimensional $\mathcal{K}$-module M and any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{\tau}_{Q,R}(1)$ on id_M; indeed, setting $M=\mathfrak{m}(P)$, we define $\mathfrak{n}$ sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to M and any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ to the $\mathcal{K}$-linear map

$$\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\tau}_{P,R}(1)\big)^{-1}\circ\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})\circ\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\tau}_{P,Q}(1)\big):M\cong M\qquad 2.10.1$$

which, if R is contained in Q, clearly maps $\hat{\tau}_{Q,R}(1)$ on id_M; then, we have the obvious *natural isomorphism* $\mathfrak{n}\cong\mathfrak{m}$ sending Q to

$$\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\tau}_{P,Q}(1)\big):M\cong\mathfrak{m}(Q)\qquad 2.10.2.$$

Moreover, two of such *reduced contravariant k^*-functors* $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}'$ mapping P on the same $\mathcal{K}$-module M are *naturally isomorphic* if and only if there is $s\in\mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ fulfilling $\mathfrak{n}'(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{n}(\hat{x})^s$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$.

2.11. Finally, we call *$\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module* any *reversible contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}$ such that, setting $M=\mathfrak{m}(P)$, the k^*-subgroup $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\tau}_{P,R}(1)\big)^{-1}\circ\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})\circ\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\tau}_{P,Q}(1)\big)$, where $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphisms, has a finite k^*-quotient $G(\mathfrak{m})$; it is clear that the *direct sum* of *$\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules* is a *$\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module*; we denote by $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ the category formed by the *$\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules* and by the *natural maps* between them.

Proposition 2.12. *If $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module then any reversible contravariant k^*-functor $\mathfrak{n}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ contained in $\mathfrak{m}$ is a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module too and admits a complement in $\mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules.*

Proof: We may assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ are *reduced* and, setting $N = \mathfrak{n}(P)$ and $M = \mathfrak{m}(P) \supset N$, it is clear that $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ stabilizes N and that its image in $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(N)$ contains $\mathfrak{n}(\hat{x})$ where $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphisms, so that $G(\mathfrak{n})$ is finite too. Moreover, since M is a semisimple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$-module and N is a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$-submodule, we have $M \cong N \oplus N'$ for a suitable $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$-submodule N' and it is quite clear that N' defines a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-submodule of $\mathfrak{m}$ which is a complement of $\mathfrak{n}$.

2.13. Thus, in the category of $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules any object is a direct sum of simple objects and therefore it makes sense to talk about the *Grothendieck group* of this category; we denote by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod})$ the extension to $\mathcal{O}$ of this Grothendieck group and then it is clear that the correspondence sending any $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}$ to $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ (cf. 2.9) induces an $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \qquad 2.13.1.$$

Actually, from the following result we will show that this $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism is injective proving, in particular, that the set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules is finite.

2.14. In this result we have to deal with *n-cohomology groups* of the category $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ over a *(reversible) contravariant functor* $\mathfrak{m} : \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$; namely, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})} \mathfrak{m}\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big) \qquad 2.14.1$$

and consider the usual differential map $d^n : \mathbb{C}^n(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ [9, A3.11.2]; more generally, we denote by $\mathbb{C}^n_*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ the $\mathcal{K}$-submodule of *stable* families, namely the families $\{m_{\mathfrak{q}}\}_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})}$ such that $\big(\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\big)(m_{\mathfrak{q}'}) = m_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for any *natural isomorphism* $x : \mathfrak{q} \cong \mathfrak{q}'$; the differential map restricts to a new differential map d^n_* and we denote by $\mathbb{H}^n_*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ the corresponding *stable n-cohomology groups* [9, A3.18]; similarly, considering the *regular* $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})$*-objects* in 2.2 above, we denote by $\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n_*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ by all the elements vanishing over the *regular* $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chains* $\mathfrak{Fct}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Delta_n, \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ [9, A5.3] and we get the *regular n-cohomology groups* $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ [9, A5.6].

Theorem 2.15. *For any* $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}$ *and any* $n \geq 1$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{H}^n_*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \{0\} \qquad 2.15.1.$$

Proof: The equality $\mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{H}^n_*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ follows from [9, Proposition A4.13] and the equality $\mathbb{H}^n_*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{H}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m})$ follows from [9, Proposition A5.7]. More generally, denoting by $\mathcal{I}$ the *interior structure* of $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$

[9, 1.3] mapping any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P on $\tau_Q^{sc}(Q)$, it still follows from [9, Proposition A4.13] that $\mathbb{H}^n(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m})$ coincides with the *$\mathcal{I}$-stable n-cohomology group* $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m})$ *of* $\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ over $\mathfrak{m}$ [9, A3.18]; thus, it suffices to prove that, for any $n\geq 1$, $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m})=\{0\}$.

We may assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ is *reduced*; setting $M=\mathfrak{m}(P)$, since the k^*-group $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})\subset \mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ has a finite k^*-quotient, it stabilizes an $\mathcal{O}$-submodule M° of M such that $M\cong \mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M^{\circ}$; then, $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{O}}(M^{\circ})$ and it suffices to define $\mathfrak{m}^{\circ}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{sc}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ to get a *contravariant* functor $\mathfrak{m}^{\circ}$ from $\mathcal{P}^{sc}$ to $\mathcal{O}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}\cong\mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathfrak{m}^{\circ}$; hence, it suffices to prove that, for any $n\geq 1$, we have

$$\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})=\{0\} \qquad 2.15.2.$$

More precisely, setting $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}=k\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathfrak{m}^{\circ}$ and $\bar{M}^{\circ}=k\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}M^{\circ}$, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ})=\{0\} \qquad 2.15.3;$$

indeed, as in 2.14 above, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ we set

$$\mathbb{C}^n(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})=\prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\mathcal{P}^{sc})} M^{\circ} \qquad 2.15.4$$

and denote by $\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$ the $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of *$\mathcal{I}$-stable* families; then, if equality 2.15.3 holds and $c_0\in\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$ is an *n-cocycle*, denoting by ϖ a generator of $J(\mathcal{O})$, we already have that

$$c_0\equiv d_{n-1}(a_0)\pmod{\varpi} \qquad 2.15.5$$

for a suitable $a_0\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$, so that we have $c_0-d^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a_0)=\varpi\cdot c_1$ for a unique $c_1\in\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$ which is again an *n-cocycle* since M° is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module; thus, for any $i\in\mathbb{N}$ we inductively can define $c_i\in\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$ and $a_i\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{m}^{\circ})$ fulfilling

$$c_i\equiv d^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a_i)\pmod{\varpi}\quad\text{and}\quad c_i-d^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}(a_i)=\varpi\cdot c_{i+1} \qquad 2.15.6$$

and then, according to the completeness of $\mathcal{O}$, it is quite clear that

$$c_0=d^{n-1}_{\mathcal{I}}\big(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\varpi^i\cdot a_i\big) \qquad 2.15.7.$$

Now, denoting by $\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}):\mathcal{P}^{sc}\to k$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ the *contravariant* k^*-subfunctor of $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}$ sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to the fixed points of Q in $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}(Q)$ [9, 14.21], it is quite clear that the inclusion of $\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ})$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}$ induces $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}\big(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ})\big)\cong\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathcal{I}}(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ}) \qquad 2.15.8$$

for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ which are compatible with the differential maps; thus, it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}\big(\mathcal{P}^{sc},\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\circ})\big)=\{0\}$ for any $n\geq 1$.

Moreover, the $\mathcal{I}$-*exterior quotient* of $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$ [9, 1.3] coincides with the *exterior quotient* $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{sc}}$ (cf. 1.7) and then the *contravariant* functor $\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})$ factorizes through the canonical functor $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{sc}}$. On the other hand, as in 1.7 above, with the terminology introduced in [12, 2.2] $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$ becomes a $\mathcal{T}_P^{^{sc}}$-*category* and it fulfills the *finiteness condition* in [12, 4.1]; at this point, it follows from [12, Proposition 4.6] that $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$ is a *multiplicative* $\mathcal{T}_P^{^{sc}}$-*category* since, by [9, Propositions 24.2 and 24.4], $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$ fulfills both conditions in this proposition.

That is to say, the *additive cover* $\mathfrak{ac}(\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}})$ admits *direct products* [12, 4.2]; in particular, we have a functor

$$\mathfrak{int}_P : \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{ac}(\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}) \qquad 2.15.9$$

sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to the *direct product* $Q \hat{\times} P$ in $\mathfrak{ac}(\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}})$; hence, setting $i_Q^P = \tau^{^{sc}}_{P,Q}(1)$ and denoting by I_Q the *finite* set of pairs (Q', a') formed by an $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q' of P and by a $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$-morphism $a' : Q' \to Q$ belonging to $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}(Q, Q')_{i^P_{Q'}}$ [12, 4.5.1], we may assume that

$$Q \hat{\times} P = \bigoplus_{(Q',a') \in I_Q} Q' \qquad 2.15.10.$$

Actually, it follows from [12, 4.10] that we have a functor $I : \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}} \to \mathfrak{Set}$ mapping any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P on the *finite* set I_Q and any $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$-morphism $x : R \to Q$ on the map $I_x : I_R \to I_Q$ determined by the $\mathfrak{ac}(\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}})$-morphism

$$x \hat{\times} i_P^P : R \hat{\times} P \longrightarrow Q \hat{\times} P \qquad 2.15.11;$$

that is to say, any element (R', b') in I_R determines an element (Q', a') in I_Q in such a way that Q' contains R' and that, setting $i^{Q'}_{R'} = \tau^{^{sc}}_{Q',R'}(1)$, we have

$$a' \cdot i^{Q'}_{R'} = x \cdot b' \qquad 2.15.12.$$

Now, in order to prove that $\mathbb{H}^n_{\mathcal{I}}\big(\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}, \mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big) = \{0\}$ for any $n \geq 1$, we will quote [12, Theorem 3.5]; for this purpose, we need to consider a *homotopic system* $\mathcal{H}$ — as introduced in [12, 2.6] — associated with $\mathcal{T}_P^{^{sc}}$, with the $\mathcal{T}_P^{^{sc}}$-*category* $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$ and with the subcategory $\mathcal{I}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$; our *homotopic system* $\mathcal{H}$ is the quintuple formed by the *interior structure* $\mathcal{I}$ above, by the *trivial co-interior structure* of $\mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$, by the functor $I : \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}} \to \mathfrak{Set}$ above, by the functor [12, 2.5]

$$\mathfrak{w} : I \rtimes \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{sc}}_P \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{sc}} \qquad 2.15.13$$

mapping any $I \rtimes \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$-object (Q', a', Q) on Q' and any $I \rtimes \mathcal{P}^{^{sc}}$-morphism

$$(i^{Q'}_{R'}, x) : (R', b', R) \longrightarrow (Q', a', Q) \qquad 2.15.14$$

on $\tilde{\imath}_{R'}^{Q'} : R' \to Q'$, where Q and R are $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups of P, (Q', a') and (R', b') are respective elements of I_Q and I_R, and $x : R \to Q$ is a $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism fulfilling equality 2.15.12 above; finally, denoting by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} : I \rtimes \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \tag{2.15.15}$$

the *forgetful* functor mapping (Q', a', Q) on Q and $(i_{R'}^{Q'}, x))$ on the image $\tilde{x}$ of x in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q, R)$, the fifth term in $\mathcal{H}$ is the *natural map*

$$\omega : \mathfrak{w} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{p}} \tag{2.15.16}$$

sending any $I \rtimes \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-object (Q', a', Q) to the image

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{a}' : & Q' & \longrightarrow & Q & \\ & \| & & \| & \\ & \mathfrak{w}(Q', a', Q) & & \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}(Q', a', Q) & \end{array} \tag{2.15.17}$$

of a' in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q, Q')$; the *naturalness* of ω is then easily checked from equality 2.15.12.

At this point, following [12, 2.9 and 2.10], from the *homotopic system* $\mathcal{H}$ and from the *contravariant* functor $\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})$, which factorizes through the canonical functor $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, we get a *contravariant* functor

$$\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big) : \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}} \longrightarrow k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \tag{2.15.18}$$

sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to

$$\Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(Q) = \Big(\prod_{(Q',a') \in I_Q} (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^{Q'}\Big)^Q \tag{2.15.19,}$$

and a *natural map*

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big) : \mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big) \tag{2.15.20}$$

sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to the k-module homomorphism

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)_Q : (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^Q \longrightarrow \Big(\prod_{(Q',a') \in \hat{I}_Q} (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^{Q'}\Big)^Q \tag{2.15.21}$$

mapping any $\bar{m} \in (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^Q$ on

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)_Q(\bar{m}) = \sum_{(Q',a') \in I_Q} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a')\big)(\bar{m}) \tag{2.15.22.}$$

Then, it follows from [12, Theorem 3.5] that, for our purpose, it suffices to exhibit a *natural section* of $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)$

$$\theta : \mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \tag{2.15.23;}$$

explicitly, for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P we will define a section

$$\theta_Q : \big(\prod_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^{Q'} \big)^Q \longrightarrow (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^Q \qquad 2.15.24$$

of $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)_Q$. Note that the action of $u \in Q$ maps the element

$$\bar{m} = \sum_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} \bar{m}_{(Q',a')} \in \prod_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^{Q'} \qquad 2.15.25$$

on $\sum_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} \bar{m}_{(Q',\tau^{\mathrm{sc}}(u)\cdot a')}$; thus, $\bar{m}$ belongs to $\big(\prod_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} (\bar{M}^{\hat{\mathcal{O}}})^{Q'} \big)^Q$ if and only if we have $\bar{m}_{(Q',\tau^{\mathrm{sc}}(u)\cdot\hat{a}')} = \bar{m}_{(Q',a')}$ for any $u \in Q$; that is to say, $\bar{m}_{(Q',a')}$ only depends on the pair $(Q',\tilde{a}')$.

Actually, denoting by $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q$ the set of pairs $(Q',\tilde{a}')$ when (Q',a') runs over $\mathcal{I}_Q$, it follows from [12, Corollary 4.7] that the *direct product* in $\mathfrak{ac}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ induces a *direct product* in $\mathfrak{ac}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ — noted $\hat{\times}$ — and that we may assume that

$$Q \,\hat{\times}\, P = \bigoplus_{(Q',\tilde{a}')\in\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q} Q' \qquad 2.15.26;$$

we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q^{\circ}$ the set of pairs $(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q$ — called *extremal* — where $\tilde{a}'$ is an isomorphism and it is easily checked that we have a canonical bijection

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q^{\circ} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \qquad 2.15.27.$$

With all this notation, for any element $\bar{m} = \sum_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} \bar{m}_{(Q',a')}$ belonging to

$$\Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(Q) = \big(\prod_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} (\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^{Q'} \big)^Q \qquad 2.15.28,$$

we define

$$\theta_Q(\bar{m}) = \frac{1}{\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(P,Q)\big|} \sum_{(Q',\tilde{a}')\in\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q^{\circ}} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a')\big)^{-1}(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')}) \qquad 2.15.29$$

where, for any $(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q^{\circ}$, a' denotes a representative of $\tilde{a}'$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q,Q')$; this makes sense since it follows from [9, 6.6.4 and Proposition 6.7] and from condition 1.3.2 above that p does not divide $|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)|$ and, since the element $\big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a')\big)^{-1}(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')})$ belongs to $(\bar{M}^{\mathcal{O}})^Q$, it is clear that $\theta_Q(\bar{m})$ does not depend on the choice of the representative a' ; moreover, it follows from definition 2.15.22 above that θ_Q is indeed a section of $\Delta_{\mathcal{H}}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)_Q$.

It only remains to prove that the correspondence sending any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P to θ_Q is *natural*; that is to say, for any $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $x : R \to Q$ we have to prove the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(Q) & \xrightarrow{\theta_Q} & \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(Q) \\ {\scriptstyle (\mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})))(x)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(x)} \\ \Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(R) & \xrightarrow{\theta_R} & \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(R) \end{array} \qquad 2.15.30.$$

Explicitly, it follows from 2.15.11 and 2.15.12 above that the k-module homomorphism

$$\Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(x) : \Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(Q) \longrightarrow \Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(R) \qquad 2.15.31$$

sends the element $\bar{m} = \sum_{(Q',a')\in I_Q} \bar{m}_{(Q',a')}$ above to

$$\sum_{(R',b')\in I_R} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(i_{R'}^{Q'})\big)(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')}) \qquad 2.15.32$$

where, for any $(R',b') \in I_R$, (Q',a') is the unique element of I_Q such that $R' \subset Q'$ and $a' \cdot i_{R'}^{Q'} = x \cdot b'$ (cf. 2.15.12); then, θ_R maps this element on

$$\frac{1}{|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(P,R)|} \sum_{(R',\tilde{b}')\in\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_R^{\circ}} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(b')\big)^{-1}\Big(\big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(i_{R'}^{Q'})\big)(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')})\Big) \qquad 2.15.33$$

where, for any $(R',\tilde{b}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_R^{\circ}$, b' denotes a representative of $\tilde{b}'$ in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(R,R')$.

But, it follows from [12, Lemma 4.4] that the category $\mathfrak{ac}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ admits *pull-backs* and, more precisely, that we have the *pull-back*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Q & & \\ & {\scriptstyle \tilde{x}}\nearrow & & \nwarrow & \\ R & & & & Q \mathbin{\hat{\times}} P \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{x}\,\hat{\times}\, i_P^P} & \\ & & R \mathbin{\hat{\times}} P & & \end{array} \qquad 2.15.34;$$

explicitly, for any $(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q$, choosing a representative a' of $\tilde{a}'$ and a set of representatives $W_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ for the set of double classes $\varphi_x(R)\backslash Q/\varphi_{a'}(Q')$ where $\varphi_x \in \mathcal{F}(Q,R)$ and $\varphi_{a'} \in \mathcal{F}(Q,Q')$ are the respective images of x and a', it is well-known that we get the *pull-back* in $\mathfrak{ac}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Q & & \\ & {\scriptstyle \tilde{x}}\nearrow & & \nwarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{a}'} & \\ R & & & & Q' \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & \bigoplus_{w\in W^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}} R'_w & & \end{array} \qquad 2.15.35$$

where $W^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ is the subset of $w \in W_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ such that the subgroup of Q'

$$R'_w = \varphi_{a'}^{-1}\big(\varphi_x(R)^w\big) \tag{2.15.36}$$

is still $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing; moreover, denote by b'_w the element in $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(R, R'_w)$ fulfilling $a' \cdot i^{Q'}_{R'_w} = x \cdot b'_w$. At this point, in the category $\mathfrak{ac}(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ we get

$$R \hat{\times} P \cong \bigoplus_{(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q} \bigoplus_{w \in W^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(Q',a')}} R'_w \tag{2.15.37}$$

and we actually may assume that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_R = \bigsqcup_{(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q} \{(R'_w, \tilde{b}'_w)\}_{w \in W^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}} \tag{2.15.38.}$$

Consequently, denoting by $W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ the subset of $w \in W_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ such that R'_w is $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphic to R, we clearly have $W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')} \subset W^{\mathrm{sc}}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ and from 2.15.32 and 2.15.33 we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(P,R)\big| \cdot \Big(\theta_R \circ \Big(\mathcal{H}\big(\mathfrak{h}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}})\big)\Big)(x)\Big)(\bar{m}) \\ &= \sum_{(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q} \sum_{w \in W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(b'_w)^{-1} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(i^{Q'}_{R'_w})\big)(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15.39}$$

where, for any $(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q$ and any $w \in W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$, we have $a' \cdot i^{Q'}_{R'_w} = x \cdot b'_w$; in particular, we still have

$$\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(i^{Q'}_{R'_w}) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a') = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(b'_w) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(x) \tag{2.15.40}$$

and therefore the composition

$$\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(b'_w)^{-1} \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(i^{Q'}_{R'_w}) = \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(x) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a')^{-1} \tag{2.15.41}$$

does not depend on $w \in W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$.

On the other hand, from definition 2.15.29 we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(P,Q)\big| \cdot \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(x) \circ \theta_Q\big)(\bar{m}) \\ &\qquad = \sum_{(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}^{\circ}_Q} \big(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(x) \circ \bar{\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathcal{O}}(a')^{-1}\big)(\bar{m}_{(Q',a')}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.15.42.}$$

Hence, in order to prove the commutativity of diagram 2.15.30, it suffices to show that, for any $(Q',\tilde{a}') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_Q$, either $(Q',\tilde{a}')$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}^{\circ}_Q$ and we have $|W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}| = 1$, or otherwise p divides $|W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}|$; but, the set $W^{\circ}_{(Q',\tilde{a}')}$ is actually a set of representatives for $\varphi_{a'}(Q')\backslash\mathcal{T}_Q\big(\varphi_{a'}(Q'), \varphi_x(R)\big)$ and if this quotient is not empty then $N_Q\big(\varphi_{a'}(Q')\big)/\varphi_{a'}(Q')$ acts freely on it. We are done.

Corollary 2.16. *For any pair of $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ we have*

$$\langle X_{\mathfrak{m}}, X_{\mathfrak{m}'}\rangle = \dim_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}',\mathfrak{m})\big) \qquad 2.16.1.$$

Proof: According to 2.8.4 we have

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}',\mathfrak{m}) = \varprojlim(\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}') = \mathbb{H}^0_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}') \qquad 2.16.2$$

and therefore, setting $C^n = \mathbb{C}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')$ for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$ (cf. 2.6), it follows from Theorem 2.15 that we have an infinite exact sequence

$$0\longrightarrow \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}',\mathfrak{m})\longrightarrow C^0\longrightarrow\ldots\longrightarrow C^n\longrightarrow C^{n+1}\longrightarrow\ldots \qquad 2.16.3;$$

actually, we can identify C^n with the set of elements

$$(m_{\mathfrak{q}})_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathfrak{Fct}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Delta_n,\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})} \in \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathfrak{Fct}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Delta_n,\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})} (\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big) \qquad 2.16.4$$

such that, for any natural isomorphism $x:\mathfrak{q}\cong\mathfrak{q}'$ between *regular* $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-valued* n*-chains* $\mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{q}'$, $(\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')(x_0)$ maps $m_{\mathfrak{q}'}$ on $m_{\mathfrak{q}}$; that is to say, we actually have

$$\mathbb{C}^n_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}, \mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}') \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{q}}(\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big)^{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathfrak{q})} \qquad 2.16.5$$

where $\mathfrak{q}$ runs over a set of representatives for the set of isomorphism classes in $\mathfrak{Fct}_{\mathrm{r}}(\Delta_n,\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ [9, A5.3].

On the other hand, it is clear that for n big enough there are no *regular* $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-valued* n*-chains* and therefore, in the exact sequence above, only finitely many terms are not zero; thus, we still get

$$\dim_K\big(\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m}',\mathfrak{m})\big) = \sum_{(\mathfrak{q},\Delta_n)}(-1)^n\dim_{\mathcal{K}}\Big((\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big)^{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathfrak{q})}\Big) \qquad 2.16.6$$

where $(\mathfrak{q},\Delta_n)$ runs over a set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of *regular* $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-objects (cf. A5.3). Moreover, for any functor $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ lifting $\mathfrak{q}$ we have

$$(\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big),\mathfrak{m}'\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big) \qquad 2.16.7$$

and, in particular, we get

$$(\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big)^{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathfrak{q})} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big),\mathfrak{m}'\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big) \qquad 2.16.8;$$

thus, denoting by $X_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}'(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}$ the respective classes of $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ and $\mathfrak{m}'\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$, we obtain (cf. 2.1 and 2.4)

$$\dim_{\mathcal{K}}\Big((\mathfrak{m}^*\otimes_{\mathcal{K}}\mathfrak{m}')\big(\mathfrak{q}(0)\big)^{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathfrak{q})}\Big) = \langle X_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}, X_{\mathfrak{m}'(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}\rangle \qquad 2.16.9.$$

Now, equality 2.16.1 follows from equality 2.16.6.

Corollary 2.17. *The $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \qquad 2.17.1$$

is injective. In particular, there are finitely many isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules.

Proof: It is clear that if $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ are nonisomorphic simple $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules then we have $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}') = \{0\}$; consequently, if $\{X_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a finite family in $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod})$ of classes of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules and for a family $\{\lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ we have $\sum_{i\in I}\lambda\cdot\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}}(X_i) = 0$, it suffices to perform the scalar product by $\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}}(X_j)$ to get $\lambda_j = 0$ for any $j \in I$.

2.18. In order to prove that $\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is also surjective, following Lemma 1.6 above we consider a suitable finite subfield $\hat{k}$ of k and a regular central $\hat{k}^*$-extension $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ such that the extension of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ from $\hat{k}^*$ to k^* is equivalent to $\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$; denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ the converse image of $\hat{k}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and by $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ the *field of quotients* of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$. Then, denoting by $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the converse image of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, we may choose $\hat{k}$ big enough to get

$$\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big) \qquad 2.18.1$$

for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$- chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$.

2.19. Let ℓ be a prime number not dividing neither $|\hat{k}^*|$ nor $|\mathcal{F}(Q)|$ for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P , and denote by $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ a complete discrete valuation ring with a *quotient field* $\mathcal{K}_\ell$ of characteristic zero and a *finite residue field* k_ℓ of characteristic ℓ ; we can choose $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ in such a way that $(k_\ell)^*$ would contain a (unique) subgroup isomorphic to $\hat{k}^*$; in particular, choosing an inclusion $\hat{k}^* \subset (k_\ell)^*$, any $\hat{k}^*$-group $\hat{\hat{G}}$ induces a $(k_\ell)^*$-group $(k_\ell)^* \times_{\hat{k}^*} \hat{\hat{G}}$ and, since this correspondence is functorial, from $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ we actually get a $(k_\ell)^*$-category $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ containing $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$. Moreover, *via* a suitable field $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}$ containing $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_\ell$, it is clear that choosing $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ big enough for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ we can get an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{\ell})\big) \qquad 2.19.1$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{\ell}$ is determined by $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ and by the inclusion $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \subset \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$. Finally, according to our choice of ℓ , we know that the *Brauer decomposition map* determines an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{\ell})\big) \cong \mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{\ell})\big) \qquad 2.19.2$$

for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$.

2.20. Consequently, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, the composition of all these $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphisms supplies an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\cong\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^{\ell})\big)\qquad 2.20.1$$

and therefore, since all of them are functorial, we get a *natural isomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}\cong\mathfrak{g}_{k_\ell}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}}\qquad 2.20.2,$$

so that we still get an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})=\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}})\cong\varprojlim(\mathfrak{g}_{k_\ell}\circ\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}})=\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell})\qquad 2.20.3.$$

Moreover note that, as in 1.9 above, the *faithful* functor $\tau^{\mathrm{sc}}:\mathcal{T}_P^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ can be lifted to a *faithful* functor $\hat{\tau}^{\mathrm{sc}}:\mathcal{T}_P^{\mathrm{sc}}\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\subset\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ [13, Proposition 3.5], and we set $\hat{\imath}_R^Q=\hat{\hat{\tau}}^{\mathrm{sc}}_{Q,R}(1)$ for any pair of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups Q and R of P fulfilling $R\subset Q$; in particular, $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ become *divisible* $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*localities* and therefore, for another such a pair Q' and R', we have a *restriction* map

$$\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{R',R}:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(Q',Q)_{R',R}\longrightarrow\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(R',R)\qquad 2.20.4$$

fulfilling $\hat{\imath}^{Q'}_{R'}\cdot\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{R',R}(\hat{x})=\hat{x}\cdot\hat{\imath}^{Q}_{R}$ for any $\hat{x}\in\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(Q',Q)$ sending R to R'.

2.21. At this point, let us call *reduced* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-*module* any *contravariant* $(k_\ell)^*$-*functor* $\mathfrak{m}_\ell:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}\to k_\ell$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ mapping any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup Q of P on the *same* finite dimensional k_ℓ-module M_ℓ and, for any $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup R of Q, the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{\imath}_R^Q$ on id_{M_ℓ}; this is coherent with our terminology above since the group $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M_\ell)$ and therefore the $(k_\ell)^*$-subgroup $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M_\ell)$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}_\ell(\hat{x})$, where $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-morphisms, are *finite*.

Theorem 2.22. *With the notation above, let* $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ *where* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ *runs over the set of* $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-chains be a family which belongs to* $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell})$ *and fulfills that, for such a* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$, $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ *is the class of a* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-module* $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$. *Then, there exists a reduced* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}_\ell:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}\to k_\ell$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ *such that* $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ *is the class of* $\mathfrak{m}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ *in* $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ *for any* $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a nonempty set of $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroups of P which contains any subgroup of P admitting an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism from some subgroup in $\mathfrak{X}$, and respectively denote by $\mathcal{T}_P^{\mathfrak{X}}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{X}}$, $\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$ the *full* subcategories of $\mathcal{T}_P^{\mathrm{sc}}$, $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ over $\mathfrak{X}$ as the set of objects; arguing by induction on $|\mathfrak{X}|$, we prove that there is a *reduced* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{X}}:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}\to k_\ell$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ such that $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is the class of $\mathfrak{m}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$.

If $\mathfrak{X} = \{P\}$ then $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$ has just one object P; in this situation, still denoting by P the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-chain mapping 0 on P, M_P is a $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(P)$-module and the structural $(k_\ell)^*$-group homomorphism $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(P) \to \mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M_P)$ induces the reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-module $\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}} : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell} \to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$.

Otherwise, choose a minimal element U in $\mathfrak{X}$ *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$ and set

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{X} - \{\theta(U) \mid \theta \in \mathcal{F}(P,U)\} \qquad 2.22.1;$$

thus, it follows from our induction hypothesis that there exists a *reduced* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{Y}} : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell} \to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ such that $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is the class of $\mathfrak{m}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell}$- chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$; let us set $M = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{Y}}(P)$.

If $N_{\mathcal{F}}(U) = \mathcal{F}$ [9, Proposition 2.16], we also have $N_{\mathcal{P}}(U) = \mathcal{P}$ [9, 17.5] and then $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$ actually coincides with the category $\mathcal{T}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)}$ where $\mathfrak{X}$ is the set of objects and where, for a pair of subgroups Q and R in $\mathfrak{X}$, the $(k_\ell)^*$-set of morphisms from R to Q is the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$*-transporter*

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)}(Q,R) = \{\hat{x} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U) \mid \hat{x}\cdot\hat{\tau}_U^{\mathrm{sc}}(R)\cdot\hat{x}^{-1} \subset \hat{\tau}_U^{\mathrm{sc}}(Q)\} \qquad 2.22.2.$$

Indeed, since $N_{\mathcal{P}}(U) = \mathcal{P}$, any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ comes from a $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x}^U$ from $R\cdot U$ to $Q\cdot U$ stabilizing U and fulfilling (cf. 2.20)

$$\hat{x}^U\cdot\hat{i}_R^{R\cdot U} = \hat{i}_Q^{Q\cdot U}\cdot\hat{x} \qquad 2.22.3;$$

moreover, since $\hat{i}_R^{R\cdot U}$ is an epimorphism, this equality determines $\hat{x}^U$ and the element $\mathfrak{t}_U^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x})$ of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)$ induced by $\hat{x}^U$ clearly belongs to $\mathcal{T}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)}(Q,R)$; thus, this correspondence defines a functor

$$\mathfrak{t}_U^{\mathfrak{x}} : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)} \qquad 2.22.4$$

compatible with the structural functors to $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$, and then it is easily checked that this functor is an equivalence of categories.

Now, still denoting by U the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$*-chain* mapping 0 on U and considering the $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)$-module M_U above and the structural $(k_\ell)^*$-group homomorphism

$$\hat{\rho}_U : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M_U) \qquad 2.22.5,$$

it suffices to set $\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(Q) = M_U = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}) = \hat{\rho}_U\big(\mathfrak{t}_U^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x})\big)$, for any $\hat{x} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(Q,R)$, to get a reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-module

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}} : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell} \longrightarrow k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \qquad 2.22.6;$$

we claim that it fulfills the announced condition.

Indeed, any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$ can be extended to a $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^U:\Delta_{n+1}\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$ sending $n+1$ to $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)\cdot U$ and $n\bullet n+1$ to $\hat{i}^{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)\cdot U}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)}$, and we have an obvious $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell})$-morphism

$$(\mathrm{id}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}},\delta^n_{n+1}):(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^U,\Delta_{n+1})\longrightarrow(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n) \qquad 2.22.7;$$

similarly, the $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-*chain* U can be extended to a $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-chain $U^{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)}:\Delta_1\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$ and we have obvious $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell})$-morphisms

$$(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^U,\Delta_{n+1})\longrightarrow(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)\cdot U,\Delta_0)\longleftarrow(U^{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)},\Delta_1)\longrightarrow(U,\Delta_0) \qquad 2.22.8;$$

consequently, since we have

$$\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{x}}_U\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{x}}_U\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^U)\big)\subset\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{x}}_U\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)\cdot U)\big)=\mathfrak{t}^{\mathfrak{x}}_U\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U^{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)})\big) \qquad 2.22.9$$

and the family $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell})$, it follows from our choice of ℓ that we still have the $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module isomorphisms (cf. 2.4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}&\cong M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^U}\cong\mathrm{Res}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})}(M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)\cdot U})\cong\mathrm{Res}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})}(M_{U^{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(n)}})\\&\cong\mathrm{Res}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})}(M_U)=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\end{aligned} \qquad 2.22.10.$$

Actually, any reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-module $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}\to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ fulfilling this condition determines a $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)$-module structure on $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell(U)$ which, according to our choice of ℓ, is isomorphic to M_U; then, identifying to each other the $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(U)$-modules $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell(U)$ and M_U, it is easily checked from 2.22.4 above that we have the equality $\mathfrak{n}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_\ell$.

From now on, we assume that $N_{\mathcal{F}}(U)\neq\mathcal{F}$; then, arguing by induction on the size of $\mathcal{F}$, we may assume that there exists a unique isomorphism class of reduced $(k_\ell)_*N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(U)$-modules $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}:N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(U)\to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ fulfilling the announced condition; moreover, $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}}(U)$ is a $N_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{y}}}(U)$-sublocality of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}$ and the restrictions to $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}}(U)$ of $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}$ and of $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_\ell$ define two reduced $(k_\ell)_*N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}}(U)$-modules, both fulfilling the announced condition; consequently, we may assume that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}\big(N_P(U)\big)=M$ and then, up to a conjugation by a suitable element of $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M)$, that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}(\hat{y})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y})$ for any $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}}(U)$-morphism $\hat{y}:R\to Q$.

For any $V\in\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{Y}$ *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, by [9, Corollary 2.13] there is a $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}$-isomorphism $\hat{y}:N_P(U)\to N_P(V)$ fulfilling $\varphi_{\hat{y}}(U)=V$, where $\varphi_{\hat{y}}$ is the image of $\hat{y}$ in $\mathcal{F}\big(N_P(V),N_P(U)\big)$; then, we get a reduced $(k_\ell)_*N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(V)$-module $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}:N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(V)\to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ sending any $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(V)$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ to

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_\ell(\hat{y})^{-1}\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{N_P(V),N_P(U)}_{Q,\varphi^{-1}_{\hat{y}}(Q)}(\hat{y})^{-1}\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{r}^{N_P(V),N_P(U)}_{R,\varphi^{-1}_{\hat{y}}(R)}(\hat{y})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_\ell(\hat{y}) \qquad 2.22.11$$

and it is clear that $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}$ still fulfills the announced condition; moreover, it does not depend on our choice of $\hat{y}$ since, for another choice $\hat{y}' = \hat{y}\cdot\hat{s}$, the element $\hat{s}$ belongs to $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}\big(N_P(U)\big)_U$ and therefore we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{U,\ell}(\hat{s}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{s})$; similarly, it is easily checked that, for any $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}}(V)$-morphism $\hat{x}\colon R \to Q$, we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})$.

At this point, for any $V, V' \in \mathfrak{X} - \mathfrak{Y}$ *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, setting $N = N_P(V)$ and $N' = N_P(V')$, it follows from [9, condition 2.8.2] that any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x}\colon V \to V'$ factorizes as $\hat{x} = \hat{r}^{N',N}_{V',V}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{s}$ for suitable $\hat{y}$ in $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(N',N)_{V',V}$ and $\hat{s}$ in $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(V)$; then, in $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M)$ we define

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) \qquad 2.22.12;$$

this definition does not depend on our choice since for such another decomposition $\hat{x} = \hat{r}^{N',N}_{V',V}(\hat{\hat{y}})\cdot\hat{\hat{s}}$, we get $\hat{\hat{y}} = \hat{y}\cdot\hat{t}$ and $\hat{\hat{s}} = \hat{r}^{N}_{V}(\hat{t})^{-1}\cdot\hat{s}$ for a suitable $\hat{t}$ in $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(N)_V$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{\hat{s}}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{\hat{y}}) &= \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{t})^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{t}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\end{aligned} \qquad 2.22.13.$$

In particular, for any $\hat{y} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(N',N)_{V',V}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{N',N}_{V',V}(\hat{y})\big) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) \qquad 2.22.14.$$

More generally, if Q and Q' are a pair of subgroups of P respectively contained in N and N', and strictly containing V and V', for any $\hat{x} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(Q',Q)_{V',V}$ we claim that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x})\big) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}) \qquad 2.22.15;$$

indeed, it follows from [9, condition 2.8.2] that $\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x}) = \hat{r}^{N',N}_{V',V}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{z}$ for suitable elements $\hat{y} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(N',N)_{V',V}$ and $\hat{z} \in \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(V)$; consequently, setting $Q'' = \varphi_{\hat{y}^{-1}}(Q') \subset N$, we get

$$\hat{z} = \hat{r}^{Q'',Q}_{V,V}\big(\hat{r}^{N,N'}_{Q'',Q'}(\hat{y}^{-1})\cdot\hat{x}\big) \qquad 2.22.16$$

and therefore, setting $\hat{s} = \hat{r}^{N,N'}_{Q'',Q'}(\hat{y}^{-1})\cdot\hat{x}$ which belongs to $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(Q'',Q)_{V,V}$, we still get $\hat{x} = \hat{r}^{N',N}_{Q',Q''}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{s}$; hence, we obtain

$$\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x}) = \hat{r}^{N',N}_{V',V}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{r}^{Q'',Q}_{V,V}(\hat{s}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) \qquad 2.22.17$$

and, since $\hat{r}^{Q'',Q}_{V,V}(\hat{s})$ belongs to $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}$ is a *reduced* $N_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}}(V)$-*module*, we finally have (cf. 2.22.12)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x})\big) &= \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{Q'',Q}_{V,V}(\hat{s})\big) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{V,\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}) = \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\end{aligned} \qquad 2.22.18.$$

For another $V'' \in \mathfrak{X} - \mathfrak{Y}$ *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, setting $N'' = N_P(V'')$ and considering a $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x}' : V' \to V''$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}') \qquad 2.22.19;$$

indeed, assuming that

$$\hat{x} = \hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{s} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{x}' = \hat{r}_{V'',V'}^{N'',N'}(\hat{y}')\cdot\hat{s}' \qquad 2.22.20$$

for suitable $\hat{y} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(N',N)_{V',V}$, $\hat{y}' \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(N'',N')_{V'',V'}$, $\hat{s} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(V)$ and $\hat{s}' \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(V')$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}') &= \mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_{V',\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}') \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}') \\
&= \mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}) \circ \big(\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_{V',\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}') \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y})^{-1}\big) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}'\cdot\hat{y}) \\
\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{x} &= \hat{r}_{V'',V'}^{N'',N'}(\hat{y}')\cdot\hat{s}'\cdot\hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})\cdot\hat{s} \\
&= \hat{r}_{V'',V}^{N'',N}(\hat{y}'\cdot\hat{y})\cdot\big(\hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})^{-1}\cdot\hat{s}'\cdot\hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})\big)\cdot\hat{s}
\end{aligned} \qquad 2.22.21.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $\hat{y}'\cdot\hat{y}$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}(N'',N)_{V'',V}$ and it follows easily from the very definition of $\mathfrak{m}_{V',\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}$ in 2.22.11 above that the element $\hat{s}'' = \hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})^{-1}\cdot\hat{s}'\cdot\hat{r}_{V',V}^{N',N}(\hat{y})$ in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}(V)$ fulfills

$$\mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}'') = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_{V',\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}') \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y})^{-1} \qquad 2.22.22;$$

consequently, from 2.22.21 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}') &= \mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}'') \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}'\cdot\hat{y}) \\
&= \mathfrak{m}_{V,\ell}^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{s}''\cdot\hat{s}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{y}'\cdot\hat{y}) = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{x})
\end{aligned} \qquad 2.22.23,$$

which proves our claim.

We are ready to consider any pair of subgroups V and V' in $\mathfrak{X} - \mathfrak{Y}$. We clearly have $N = N_P(V) \neq V$ and it follows from [9, Proposition 2.7] that there is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\nu : N \to P$ such that $\nu(V)$ is *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$; moreover, we choose $\hat{n} \in \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}\big(\nu(N), N\big)$ lifting the $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism ν_* determined by ν. That is to say, we may assume that

2.22.24 *There is a pair $(N,\hat{n})$ formed by a subgroup N of P which strictly contains and normalizes V, and by an element $\hat{n}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{y},\ell}\big(\nu(N), N\big)$ lifting ν_* for a $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\nu : N \to P$ such that $\nu(V)$ is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$.*

We denote by $\mathfrak{N}(V)$ the set of such pairs and often we write $\hat{n}$ instead of $(N,\hat{n})$, setting ${}^{\hat{n}}N = \varphi_{\hat{n}}(N)$ and ${}^{\hat{n}}V = \varphi_{\hat{n}}(V)$. Then, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x} : V \to V'$, we consider pairs $(N,\hat{n})$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V)$ and $(N',\hat{n}')$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V')$ and, since ${}^{\hat{n}}V$ and ${}^{\hat{n}'}V'$ are both *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, we can define

$$\mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}(\hat{x}) = \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{n}) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{x}}\big(\hat{r}_{{}^{\hat{n}'}V',V'}^{{}^{\hat{n}'}N',N'}(\hat{n}')\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{r}_{{}^{\hat{n}}V,V}^{{}^{\hat{n}}N,N}(\hat{n})^{-1}\big) \circ \mathfrak{m}_\ell^{\mathfrak{y}}(\hat{n}'^{-1}) \qquad 2.22.25.$$

This definition is independent of our choices; indeed, for another pair $(\bar{N},\hat{\bar{n}})$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V)$, setting $\bar{\bar{N}}=\langle N,\bar{N}\rangle$ and considering a new $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\psi:\bar{\bar{N}}\to P$ such that $\psi(V)$ is *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, we can obtain a third pair $(\bar{\bar{N}},\hat{m})$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V)$; then, $\hat{r}^{\hat{m}\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{\hat{m}N,N}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{n}^{-1}$ and $\hat{r}^{\hat{m}\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{\hat{m}\bar{N},\bar{N}}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{\bar{n}}^{-1}$ respectively belong to $\hat{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell}({}^{\hat{m}}N,{}^{\hat{n}}N)$ and to $\hat{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{Y},\ell}\big({}^{\hat{m}}\bar{N},{}^{\hat{\bar{n}}}\bar{N}\big)$; in particular, since ${}^{\hat{n}}V$, ${}^{\hat{\bar{n}}}V$ and ${}^{\hat{m}}V$ are *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{m})&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}\big((\hat{r}^{\hat{m}\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{\hat{m}N,N}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{n}^{-1})\cdot\hat{n}\big)\\&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{r}^{\hat{m}\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{\hat{m}N,N}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{n}^{-1})\\&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{X}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{m}\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{\hat{m}V,V}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{n}N,N}_{\hat{n}V,V}(\hat{n}^{-1})\big)\end{aligned}\qquad 2.22.26$$

and, *mutatis mutandis*, we still get

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{m})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{\bar{n}})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{X}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{m\bar{\bar{N}},\bar{\bar{N}}}_{mV,V}(\hat{m})\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{\bar{n}}\bar{N},\bar{N}}_{\hat{\bar{n}}V,V}(\hat{\bar{n}}^{-1})\big)\qquad 2.22.27.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{X}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{\bar{n}})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{X}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}'N',N'}_{\hat{n}'V',V'}(\hat{n}')\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{\bar{n}}\bar{N},\bar{N}}_{\hat{\bar{n}}V,V}(\hat{\bar{n}}^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{Y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'^{-1})\qquad 2.22.28.$$

Symmetrically, we can replace $(\bar{N}',\hat{n}')$ for another pair $(\bar{N}',\hat{\bar{n}}')$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V')$.

Moreover, equality 2.22.15 still holds with this general definition; indeed, for any pair of subgroups Q and Q' of P respectively normalizing and strictly containing V and V', we claim that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\left(\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x})\right)=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\qquad 2.22.29;$$

indeed, it is clear that we have pairs $(Q,\hat{n})$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V)$ and $(Q',\hat{n}')$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V')$, and by the very definition 2.22.25 and by equality 2.22.15 we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\left(\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x})\right)\\&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}'Q',Q'}_{\hat{n}'V',V'}(\hat{n}')\cdot\hat{r}^{Q',Q}_{V',V}(\hat{x})\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{n}Q,Q}_{\hat{n}V,V}(\hat{n}^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'^{-1})\\&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}'Q',{}^{\hat{n}}Q}_{\hat{n}'V',{}^{\hat{n}}V}(\hat{n}'\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{n}^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'^{-1})\\&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{n}^{-1})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'^{-1})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\end{aligned}\qquad 2.22.30.$$

Once again, for another $V''\in\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{Y}$, setting $N''=N_P(V'')$ and considering a $\hat{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{x},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x}':V'\to V''$, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}')\qquad 2.22.31;$$

indeed, considering a pair $(N'',\hat{n}'')$ in $\mathfrak{N}(V'')$ and setting $\hat{x}''=\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{x}$, from the very definition 2.22.25 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}'N',N'}_{\hat{n}'V',V'}(\hat{n}')\cdot\hat{x}\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{n}N,N}_{\hat{n}V,V}(\hat{n}^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}'^{-1})\\
&\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}')=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}')\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}''N'',N''}_{\hat{n}''V'',V''}(\hat{n}'')\cdot\hat{x}'\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{n}'N',N'}_{\hat{n}'V',V'}(\hat{n}'^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}''^{-1})\quad 2.22.32\\
&\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}'')=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{\hat{n}''N'',N''}_{\hat{n}''V'',V''}(\hat{n}'')\cdot\hat{x}''\cdot\hat{r}^{\hat{n}N,N}_{\hat{n}V,V}(\hat{n}^{-1})\big)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{n}''^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

and it follows from equality 2.22.19 that the composition of the first and the second equalities above coincides with the third one.

At this point, we are able to complete the definition of the reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-module $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}\to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ fulfilling the announced condition. For any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ either R belongs to $\mathfrak{Y}$ and we simply set $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})$, or R belongs to $\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{Y}$ and, denoting by R_* the image of R in Q and by $\hat{x}_*:R\cong R_*$ the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-isomorphism determined by $\hat{x}$, we set $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}_*)$ (cf. 2.22.25); note that if Q contains R then we have $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{i}^{Q}_{R}\big)=\mathrm{id}_M$. Moreover, we claim that for another $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-morphism $\hat{y}:T\to R$ we have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\qquad 2.22.33;$$

indeed, if T belongs to $\mathfrak{Y}$ then we just have

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})\qquad 2.22.34;$$

If R belongs to $\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{Y}$ then $\hat{y}$ is a $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-isomorphism and, with the notation above, we have $T_*=R_*$ and $(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})_*=\hat{x}_*\cdot\hat{y}$; in this case, from equality 2.22.19 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\big((\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})_*\big)=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}_*\cdot\hat{y})=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}_*)\\
&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})
\end{aligned}\qquad 2.22.35.$$

Finally, assume that $T\in\mathfrak{X}-\mathfrak{Y}$ and $R\in\mathfrak{Y}$, denote by T_* and $T_{**}\subset R_*$ the respective images of T in R and Q, and by $\hat{x}_{**}:T_*\to T_{**}$ the $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-isomorphism fulfilling

$$\hat{x}_*\cdot\hat{i}^{R}_{T_*}=\hat{i}^{R_*}_{T_{**}}\cdot\hat{x}_{**}\qquad 2.22.36;$$

then, setting $\bar{R}=N_R(T_*)$ and $\bar{R}_*=N_{R_*}(T_{**})$, it follows from 2.23.16 and 2.22.31 that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}\cdot\hat{y})&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}_{**}\cdot\hat{y}_*)=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y}_*)\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{x}_{**})\\
&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}\Big(\hat{r}^{\bar{R}_*,\bar{R}}_{T_{**},T_*}\big(\hat{r}^{Q,R}_{\bar{R}_*,\bar{R}}(\hat{x})\big)\Big)\\
&=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}\big(\hat{r}^{Q,R}_{\bar{R}_*,\bar{R}}(\hat{x})\big)=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}(\hat{y})\circ\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{y}}_{\ell}(\hat{x})
\end{aligned}\qquad 2.22.37.$$

It is not difficult to check that the functor $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{x}}_{\ell}:\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}\to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ is a reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathfrak{X},\ell}$-module which fulfills the announced condition. We are done.

Corollary 2.23. *The homomorphism* $\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ *is bijective.*

Proof: According to Corollary 2.17, it suffices to prove the surjectivity. Let $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ runs over the set of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chains* be a family belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; according to Remark 1.11, we actually may assume that $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is the class of a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ and then, since the isomorphisms 2.18.1, 2.19.1 and 2.19.2 all preserve the classes of modules, it follows from isomorphism 2.20.3 that $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ determines a family $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}\big)$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell$ runs over the set of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-chains* and, for such a $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell$, $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell}$ is the class of a $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $M_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell}$. Consequently, it follows from Theorem 2.22 above that there exists a reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-module $\mathfrak{m}_\ell : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell} \to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ such that $X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell}$ is the class of $\mathfrak{m}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell)\big)$ for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}^\ell$.

In particular, setting $M_\ell = \mathfrak{m}_\ell(P)$ and denoting by $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)$ the $(k_\ell)^*$-subgroup of the *finite* group $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(M_\ell)$ generated by $\mathfrak{m}_\ell(\hat{x})$ where $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-morphisms, M_ℓ becomes a $(k_\ell)_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)$-module, determining an element X_ℓ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)\big)$; since the *Brauer decomposition map*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_\ell}\big(\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)\big) \qquad 2.23.1$$

is surjective, X_ℓ can be lifted to some element $\hat{X}_\ell \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}_\ell}\big(\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)\big)$ which is then the difference of the classes of suitable $(\mathcal{K}_\ell)_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)$-modules $\hat{M}'_\ell$ and $\hat{M}''_\ell$.

But, since we have an obvious $(k_\ell)^*$-functor from the $(k_\ell)^*$-category $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ to the $(k_\ell)^*$-category with a unique object $\emptyset$ and $(k_\ell)^*$-group of automorphisms $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m}_\ell)$, $\hat{M}'_\ell$ and $\hat{M}''_\ell$ determine respective *reduced* $(\mathcal{K}_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-modules* $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}'_\ell : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell} \to \mathcal{K}_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}''_\ell : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell} \to \mathcal{K}_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$; then, since $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \subset \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$ and $\mathcal{K}_\ell \subset \hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}$ (cf. 2.19), $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}'_\ell$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}''_\ell$ determine respective *reduced* $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-modules* $\hat{\hat{\mathfrak{m}}}' : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ and $\hat{\hat{\mathfrak{m}}}'' : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$; finally, since we actually may assume that $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{K}}}$ contains $\mathcal{K}$ and that $\mathcal{K}$ is big enough, $\hat{\hat{\mathfrak{m}}}'$ and $\hat{\hat{\mathfrak{m}}}''$ come from respective *reduced* $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-modules* $\mathfrak{m}' : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'' : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$, and it is easily checked that the diference between their images in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ coincides with the starting family $\{X_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$.

Corollary 2.24. *There exists a* $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ *such that, for any* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, *the class of* $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ *in* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ *is a multiple of the regular* $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$*-module. In particular, the* k^**-functor from* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ *to the* k^**-category over one object with automorphism* k^**-group* $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{m})$ *is faithful.*

Proof: From Remark 1.11 we already know that, denoting by $R_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ the class in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ of the *regular* $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$, and choosing a multiple m of all the orders $|\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})|$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ runs over the set of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$*-chains,* it is easily checked that the family $R = \Big\{\frac{m}{|\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})|}\cdot R_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}\Big\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell})$; hence, it follows from Theorem 2.22 that there exists a reduced $(k_\ell)_*\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-module $\mathfrak{n}_\ell : \hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell} \to k_\ell\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ such that $\frac{m}{|\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})|}\cdot R_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is the class of $\mathfrak{n}_\ell\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ for any $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$- chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$.

As above, setting $N_\ell = \mathfrak{n}_\ell(P)$ and denoting by $\hat{G}(\mathfrak{n}_\ell)$ the $(k_\ell)^*$-subgroup of the *finite* group $\mathrm{GL}_{k_\ell}(N_\ell)$ generated by $\mathfrak{n}_\ell(\hat{x})$ where $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}^{\mathrm{sc},\ell}$-morphisms, N_ℓ becomes a $(k_\ell)_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{n}_\ell)$-module determining an element in $\mathcal{G}_{k_\ell}\big(\hat{G}(\mathfrak{n}_\ell)\big)$; then, we know that a multiple of this element comes, *via* the *Brauer decomposition map*, from a *true* $(\mathcal{K}_\ell)_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{n}_\ell)$-module and, *via* a field $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ containing $\mathcal{K}_\ell$ and $\mathcal{K}$, it comes from a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\mathfrak{n}_\ell)$-module M; finally, the reduced $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module $\mathfrak{m} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ determined by M fulfills the announced condition.

2.25. Let $\mathfrak{s}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ be the direct sum of a set of reduced representatives for the set of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules and denote by $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ the k^*-subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\mathfrak{s}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(P)\big)$ generated by $\mathfrak{s}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x})$ where $\hat{x} : R \to Q$ runs over the set of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphisms and by $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ the functor determined by $\mathfrak{s}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ from $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to the k^*-category over one object with the automorphism k^*-group $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$, which is *faithful* by Corollary 2.24 above.

Corollary 2.26. *With the notation above, the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ induces an equivalence of categories from $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ to $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$. Moreover, the regular representation of $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ induces a $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module $\mathfrak{r}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ such that, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, the class of $\mathfrak{r}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ is a multiple of the regular $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module.*

Proof: It is clear that, for any simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-module $\mathfrak{s} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$, the k^*-group $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}(P)$ and then $\mathfrak{s}(P)$ becomes a simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-module; more generally, the restriction from $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ to $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ *via* $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ clearly determines a functor from $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ to $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ which induces a bijection between the sets of isomorphism classes of simple $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$- and $\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules; since both categories are semisimple, this functor is an equivalence of categories. Moreover, since the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ is *faithful*, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-chain $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ the k^*-group $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$ is k^*-isomorphic to a k^*-subgroup of $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$.

3. Categorization for the characteristic p case

3.1. With the notation in 2.1 above, this time we set (cf. 1.10.3)

$$\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) = \varprojlim (\mathfrak{g}_k \circ \mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}) \qquad 3.1.1;$$

that is to say, $\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is the subset of elements

$$\{Z_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \prod_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})} \mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big) \qquad 3.1.2$$

such that, for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $(\nu,\delta):(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)\to(\hat{\mathfrak{r}},\Delta_m)$, they fulfill

$$\mathrm{res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\nu,\delta)}(Z_{(\hat{\mathfrak{r}},\Delta_m)}) = Z_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)} \qquad 3.1.3.$$

Our purpose in this section is to show that $\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is the extension to $\mathcal{O}$ of the very *Grothendieck group* of the category of $k_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-modules for the k^*-group $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ introduced in 2.25 above.

3.2. As in 2.3 above, we call *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ any functor such that $\mathfrak{m}[\lambda\cdot\hat{x}) = \lambda\cdot\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x})$ for any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ and any $\lambda\in k^*$; once again, any *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ determines a new *contravariant k^*-functor* [9, A3.7.3]

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}} = \mathfrak{m}\circ\mathfrak{v}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} \qquad 3.2.1$$

sending any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ and any $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism

$$(\hat{x},\delta) : (\hat{\mathfrak{r}},\Delta_m) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n) \qquad 3.2.2,$$

where $\delta:\Delta_n\to\Delta_m$ is an order-preserving map and $\hat{x}:\hat{\mathfrak{r}}\circ\delta\cong\hat{\mathfrak{q}}$ a *natural isomorphism*, to the k-linear map

$$\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{x}_0\circ\hat{r}(0\bullet\delta(0))\big) : \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big) \qquad 3.2.3.$$

3.3. As in 2.4 above, in the case where $n=m$ and δ is the identity map, we get a k^*-compatible action of the k^*-group $\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}) = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$ over $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$, so that $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ becomes a $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module; similarly, $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big)$ becomes a $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module and, *via* the k^*-group homomorphism $\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)$, $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ also becomes the $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big)$; then the k-linear map 3.2.3 is clearly a $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}}(\hat{x},\delta) : \mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)}\Big(\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)\Big) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big) \qquad 3.3.1.$$

Similarly, any *natural map* $\mu:\mathfrak{m}\to\mathfrak{m}'$ between *contravariant k^*- functors* $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ from $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to $k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ determines a new *natural map*

$$\mu^{\mathfrak{ch}} = \mu * \mathfrak{v}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}'^{\mathfrak{ch}} \qquad 3.3.2$$

sending any $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}}:\Delta_n\to\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$ to the k-linear map $\mu_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)}$ and it follows from the naturalness of μ that this map is actually a $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module homomorphism.

3.4. Once again, we are interested in the *contravariant k^*-functors* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ — called *reversible* — mapping *any* $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-morphism $\hat{x}:R\to Q$ on a k-linear isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}(\hat{x}):\mathfrak{m}(Q)\cong\mathfrak{m}(R)$; in this case, it is quite clear that the *contravariant k^*-functor* $\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{ch}}$ also maps any $\mathfrak{ch}^*(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism on a k-linear isomorphism and, as in 2.6.2 above, the converse is also true. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ is a *reversible contravariant k^*- functor*, homomorphism 3.3.1 becomes an isomorphism and therefore the restriction map

$$\mathfrak{g}_k\big(\mathfrak{aut}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(\hat{x},\delta)\big):\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})\big)\qquad 3.4.1$$

sends the class $Z_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}$ in $\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)$ of the $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})$-module $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0)\big)$ to the class $Z_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0))}$ in $\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})\big)$ of the $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{r}})$-module $\mathfrak{m}\big(\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(0)\big)$. That is to say, the family

$$\big\{Z_{\mathfrak{m}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}(0))}\big\}_{(\hat{\mathfrak{q}},\Delta_n)}\in\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\prod_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}\in\mathfrak{Fct}(\Delta_n,\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\big)\qquad 3.4.2$$

fulfills condition 3.1.3 and therefore it belongs to $\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; let us denote by $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}$ this family which, clearly, only depends on the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{m}$.

3.5. This time we call $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}:\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\to k\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ just any *contravariant* functor obtained by restriction from a $k_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-module *via* the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ introduced in 2.15; thus, denoting by $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ the category formed by these $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-modules* and by the *natural maps* between them, we clearly get a *faithful* functor

$$k_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\longrightarrow k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\qquad 3.5.1;$$

moreover, it is easily checked that any *natural map* $\mu:\mathfrak{m}\to\mathfrak{m}'$ between $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$-modules $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m}'$ induces a $k_*\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-morphism $\mu_P:\mathfrak{m}(P)\to\mathfrak{m}'(P)$; consequently, the functor above is actually an equivalence of categories. Thus, denoting by $\mathcal{G}(k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod})$ the extension to $\mathcal{O}$ of the Grothendieck group of $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$, the equivalence 3.5.1 induces an $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G}(k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod})\cong\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big)\qquad 3.5.2.$$

3.6. Moreover, as above the correspondence sending any $k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$*-module* $\mathfrak{m}$ to $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}$ induces an $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{cat}_k:\mathcal{G}(k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod})\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\qquad 3.6.1$$

and it is quite clear that suitable *Brauer decomposition maps* [10, 3.4.2] yield the following commutative diagram (cf. Corollary 2.23)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\\ {\scriptstyle\delta_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}}\\ \mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{cat}_k} & \mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\end{array}\qquad 3.6.2.$$

But we already know that, for any finite group H, the *Brauer decomposition map* $\delta_H : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(H) \to \mathcal{G}_k(H)$ is surjective and even admits a *natural section* — namely, extending any *Brauer character* φ of H to the central function over H mapping $y \in H$ on $\varphi(y_{p'})$; moreover, it is easy to check that all this still holds for k^*-groups. Consequently, the vertical homomorphisms in the diagram above admit sections and, in particular, they are surjective.

3.7. More generally, for any finite group H and any p-element v in H, assuming that $\mathcal{K}$ is big enough for H we have the *Brauer general decomposition map*

$$\delta_H^v : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(H) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_H(v)\big) \tag{3.7.1}$$

which, following [2, Appendice], can be defined as the composition

$$\delta_H^v = \delta_{C_H(v)} \circ \omega^v_{C_H(v)} \circ \mathrm{Res}^{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{C_H(v)}} \tag{3.7.2}$$

where we consider the *v-twist*

$$\omega^v_{C_H(v)} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_H(v)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_H(v)\big) \tag{3.7.3}$$

determined by the *v-translation* map induced by the multiplication by v in the $\mathcal{O}$-valued functions $\mathcal{F}ct\big(C_H(v), \mathcal{O}\big)$ [10, 9.2]; then, recall that we have [2, Appendice]

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(H) \cong \prod_v \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_H(v)\big) \tag{3.7.4}$$

where v runs over a set of representatives for the set of conjugacy classes of p-elements in H.

3.8. In order to get a similar result for a k^*-group $\hat{H}$ with finite k^*-quotient H, we have to replace *p-element* by *local element*; we say that a p-element v of $\hat{H}$ is *local* if either $v = 1$ or the *relative trace map*

$$\mathrm{tr}^{\langle v\rangle}_{\langle v^p\rangle} : (k_*\hat{H})^{\langle v^p\rangle} \longrightarrow (k_*\hat{H})^{\langle v\rangle} \tag{3.8.1}$$

is *not* surjective. Then, from the standard results on k^*-groups [7, Proposition 5.15], it is easily checked from isomorphism 3.7.4 that

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{H}) \cong \prod_v \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{H}}(v)\big) \tag{3.8.2}$$

where v runs over a set of representatives for the set of conjugacy classes of *local elements* in $\hat{H}$.

3.9. The point is that in [10, Theorem 9.3] we prove an analogous result for $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; explicitly, choose a set of representatives $\mathcal{U} \subset P$ for the set of $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism classes of the elements of P in such a way that, for any $u \in \mathcal{P}$, the subgroup $\langle u\rangle$ is fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}$ [9, Proposition 2.7].

For any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, we have the Frobenius $C_P(u)$-category $C_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$ [9, Proposition 2.16] and, since a $C_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$-selfcentralizing subgroup of $C_P(u)$ contains u, so that it is also an $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing subgroup of P, we write $C_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ instead of $C_{\mathcal{F}}(u)^{\mathrm{sc}}$. Then, with obvious notation, it is easily checked that $C_{\mathcal{P}}(u)$ and $C_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ are the respective *perfect* $C_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$- *and* $C_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$-*localities*, and we clearly have the *finite folded Frobenius* $C_P(u)$-*category* $\big(C_{\mathcal{F}}(u), C_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)$; thus, as in 2.1 above, *via* the structural functor $C_{\mathcal{P}}(u) \to C_{\mathcal{F}}(u)$ we get a *regular central* k^*-*extension* $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ of $C_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ and, in [10, 9.2.5], we have defined a *general decomposition map*

$$\delta^u_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \qquad 3.9.1;$$

finally, in [10, Theorem 9.3] we state that the set of these *general decomposition maps* when u runs over $\mathcal{U}$ determines a $\mathcal{K}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \cong \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \qquad 3.9.2.$$

Theorem 3.10. *With the notation above, any local element v of $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ has a $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-conjugate in the image of P and the restriction induces a $\mathcal{K}$-module isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(v)\big) \cong \prod_{u \in \mathcal{U}_v} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \qquad 3.10.1$$

where $\mathcal{U}_v$ denotes the set of $u \in \mathcal{U}$ such that the image is $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$-conjugate to v.

Proof: With notation in 1.9 and 2.25, for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$ let us denote by u^* the p-element $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}\big(\hat{\tau}_P(u)\big)$ in $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; it is quite clear that the k^*-functor $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}} : \hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}} \to \hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ still induces a k^*-functor from $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ to $C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)$; then, by restriction, we get $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} F_u &: \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_* C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) \\ f_u &: \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\big(k_* C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) \end{aligned} \qquad 3.10.2.$$

At this point, we claim that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) & \cong & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle \delta^{u^*}_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}}\downarrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta^{u^*}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}} \\ \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{f_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(k_* C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{cat}^u_k} & \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.3,$$

the isomorphisms in the top line coming from Corollaries 2.23 and 2.26. First of all, since $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ is a k^*-subcategory of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}$, it is quite clear that the restriction determines the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_* C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.4.$$

Moreover, it follows from [10, 4.6] that we also have restriction maps

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}_{k}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \qquad 3.10.5;$$

then, from the definition of the left-hand restriction, it is not difficult to check that we also get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.6;$$

hence, we finally get the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{K}_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Res}^{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}_{C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{F_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.7.$$

On the other hand, as in 3.6 above, it follows from the definition of the *Brauer decomposition map* in [10, 3.4.2] that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{F_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \\ \downarrow \delta_{C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)} & & & & \delta_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{k}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{f_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(k_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}} & \mathcal{G}_{k}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.8.$$

But, the vertical left-hand arrow in diagram 3.10.3 is the composition on the right- and on the left-hand of $\omega^{u^*}_{C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)}$ with the left-hand arrows of diagrams 3.10.7 and 3.10.8 (cf. 3.7.2); analogously, the vertical right-hand arrow in diagram 3.10.3 is the composition on the right- and on the left-hand of the following $\mathcal{O}$-module automorphism defined in [10, 9.2.4]

$$\Omega^{u}_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \qquad 3.10.9$$

with the right-hand arrows of diagrams 3.10.7 and 3.10.8.

Consequently, in order to show the commutativity of diagram 3.10.3 it remains to prove the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{F_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \\ \downarrow \omega^{u^*}_{C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)} & & & & \Omega^{u}_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{F_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_*C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^{u}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \end{array} \qquad 3.10.10;$$

for this purpose, recall that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)$ is the *inverse limit* of the family $\Big\{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big)\Big\}_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ and then that the $\mathcal{O}$-module automorphism $\Omega^u_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)}$ is the *inverse limit* of the family of $\mathcal{O}$-module automorphisms

$$\omega^u_{(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u))(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big) \qquad 3.10.11$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ runs over all the $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$-*chains* (cf. 2.1); that is to say, this family defines a *natural automorphism* [10, 9.2.3]

$$\omega^u_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \mathfrak{aut}_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{K}} \circ \mathfrak{aut}_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} \qquad 3.10.12$$

and we set [10, 9.2.4]

$$\Omega^u_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)} = \varprojlim\big(\omega^u_{C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)}\big) \qquad 3.10.13.$$

But, for any $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$-*chain* $\hat{\mathfrak{q}} : \Delta_n \to C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ we have the structural $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism

$$\ell^u_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big) \qquad 3.10.14$$

and, moreover, the k^*-functor from $C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)$ to $C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)$ induced by $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}$ still induces a k^*-group homomorphism

$$\theta^u_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}} : \big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}}) \longrightarrow C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*) \qquad 3.10.15;$$

then, it is easily checked that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \xrightarrow{F_u} & \mathcal{G}\big(\mathcal{K}_* C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}\big) & \overset{\mathfrak{cat}^u_{\mathcal{K}}}{\cong} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big) \\
& {\scriptstyle \mathrm{Res}^u_{\theta\,\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}}\searrow & & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \ell^u_{\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}} & \\
& & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big) & &
\end{array} \qquad 3.10.16.$$

Finally, the commutativity of diagram 3.10.10 follows from definition 3.10.13 and the obvious commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \overset{\mathrm{Res}^u_{\theta\,\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big) \\
{\scriptstyle \omega^{u^*}_{C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \omega^u_{(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u))(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})}} \\
\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \overset{\mathrm{Res}^u_{\theta\,\hat{\mathfrak{q}}}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\Big(\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)(\hat{\mathfrak{q}})\Big)
\end{array} \qquad 3.10.17.$$

Now, the commutativity of diagram 3.10.3 for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$ determines the following commutative diagram (cf. 3.9.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) & \cong & \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \\
\downarrow & & \wr\| \\
\prod_{u\in\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})}(u^*)\big) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{u\in\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{G}_k\big(C_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}}(u)\big)
\end{array} \qquad 3.10.18$$

which forces the vertical left-hand arrow to be injective and the bottom arrow to be surjective; then, according to isomorphism 3.8.2, the injectivity of the vertical left-hand arrow implies that the set $\{u^*\}_{u\in\mathcal{U}}$ contains a representative for any conjugacy class of *local elements* of $\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$; moreover, the surjectivity of the bottom arrow implies that the restriction to the image of the vertical left-hand arrow is an isomorphism and then the announced isomorphism 3.10.1 follows easily.

Corollary 3.11. *The $\mathcal{O}$-module homomorphism $\mathfrak{cat}_k\colon \mathcal{G}(k_*\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}) \to \mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})$ is bijective.*

Proof: Since the the vertical homomorphisms in diagram 3.6.2 above are surjective, this homomorphism is surjective. Moreover, it follows from isomorphism 3.10.1 for $v = 1$ that we have the $\mathcal{K}$-module isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{G}_k\big(\hat{G}(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}})\big) \cong \mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\mathcal{G}_k(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{sc}}) \qquad 3.11.1$$

and therefore, according to the $\mathcal{O}$-module isomorphism 3.5.2, $\mathfrak{cat}_k$ is also injective.

References

[1] Richard Brauer, *On blocks and sections in finite groups, I and II*, Amer. J. Math. 89(1967), 1115-1136, 90(1968), 895-925

[2] Michel Broué, *Radical, hauteurs, p-sections et blocs*, Ann. of Math. 107(1978), 89-107

[3] Andrew Chermak. *Fusion systems and localities*, Acta Mathematica, 211(2013), 47-139.

[4] Daniel Gorenstein, *"Finite Groups"*, Harper's Series, 1968, Harper and Row

[5] Bob Oliver. *Existence and Uniqueness of Linking Systems: Chermak's proof via obstruction theory*, Acta Mathematica, 211(2013), 141-175.

[6] Lluís Puig, *Local fusions in block source algebras*, Journal of Algebra, 104(1986), 358-369.

[7] Lluís Puig, *Pointed groups and construction of modules*, Journal of Algebra, 116(1988), 7-129

[8] Lluís Puig, *Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras*, Inventiones math., 93(1988), 77-116.

[9] Lluís Puig, *"Frobenius Categories versus Brauer Blocks"*, Progress in Math. 274, 2009, Birkhäuser, Basel

[10] Lluís Puig, *Ordinary Grothendieck groups of a Frobenius P-category*, Algebra Colloquium 18(2011), 1-76

[11] Lluís Puig, *Existence, uniqueness and functoriality of the perfect locality over a Frobenius P-category*, arxiv.org/abs/1207.0066, submitted to Algebra Colloquium.

[12] Lluís Puig, *A criterion on trivial homotopy*, arxiv.org/abs/1308.3765, submitted to Journal of Algebra.

[13] Lluís Puig, *Affirmative answer to a question of Linckelmann*, arxiv.org/abs/1507.04278, submitted to Journal of Algebra.